

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:	Bruce Lewolt	Examiner:	Timothy A. Musselman
Serial No.	10/031,806	Group Art Unit:	3715
Filed:	November 19, 2003	Docket No.	116948-010100
Customer No.:	33717	Confirmation No.:	8650
Title:	INTERACTIVE COMPUTER NETWORKED STUDY AID AND GUIDE		

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this document is being transmitted electronically to the United States Patent and Trademark Office via the EPS Web e-Filing system on March 1, 2011.

/Steve P. Hassid/
Name: Steve P. Hassid

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

MAIL STOP: Issue Fee
Commissioner for Patents
Post Office Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir/Madam:

Applicants acknowledge with appreciation the Examiner's reasons for allowance set forth in the Notice of Allowability mailed December 6, 2010. However, to the extent that such statements can be construed to limit the scope of the allowed claims or the various points of novelty of the inventions described and claimed in the present application, Applicants respectfully traverse the Examiner's reasons for allowance. The Examiner indicates that the "prior art does not teach or suggest a system wherein a user creates or designates questions from designated material, wherein the same user is questioned with their created/designated questions, and wherein the same user (not the system) determines whether or not the material has been mastered by indicating whether their own answers to their own questions were correct, incorrect, correct and easy or correct and difficult, and wherein the system re-presents the same user

created/designated questions based upon the user evaluation of their own answers, emphasizing questions in which the user indicated a lack of understanding” (Emphasis Removed). It is respectfully submitted that the Examiner’s reasons are not the only reasons for allowance of the claims in this application.

The scope of the claims and the various points of novelty for the claimed inventions cannot be distilled down to one or more clauses, reasons or sentences as set forth by the Examiner. Further, reasons for allowance can be appreciated from Applicants’ specification and may also be gleaned from a review of the prosecution history and cited prior art taken in conjunction with the specification and the claims themselves.

In addition, structures that perform substantially the same function, in substantially the same way, to yield substantially the same result as recited elements and limitations in the claims are also within the scope of the claims. It is fully intended that all such structures and methods are within the scope of the claims in any patent that issues on this application.

Furthermore, Applicants do not relinquish any rights to any disclosure in the present application and reserves the right to file additional continuing applications with claims directed to any portion of the disclosure in this present application, which Applicants intend to do shortly after payment of the issue fee in the present application.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: March 1, 2011

/Steve P. Hassid/

Steve P. Hassid

Reg. No. 46,472

Customer Number 96116
SILICON EDGE LAW GROUP LLP
1115 ½ Yale Street
Santa Monica, CA 90403
Phone: (310) 922-2206
Fax: (925) 621-2136
E-mail: steve@siliconedgelaw.com